

Environmentally Sustainable Human Resource Management: Embedding Green Practices in HR Functions

¹Ms. Nawdeep Kaur, Assistant Professor, MSBS, MATS University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

ABSTRACT:

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) refers to embedding environmental concerns into the planning, design, and execution of HR policies and practices, covering areas such as recruitment, training, performance appraisal, rewards, and employee involvement with a sustainability orientation. It is a relatively new approach that highlights how HR systems can be used to support environmental sustainability by aligning people-related decisions and behaviours with an organization's green objectives. As organizations across the world encounter rising expectations to operate in eco-friendly ways, GHRM functions as a strategic tool for linking HR strategies with broader sustainability agendas. This research paper examines the core principles, key practices, and broader implications of GHRM in promoting both environmental protection and organizational effectiveness. The shift towards sustainability—driven by climate change, resource scarcity, and environmental degradation—has prompted organizations to integrate green thinking into fundamental business functions, including human resource management. In this context, GHRM is viewed as a vital mechanism for building organizations that are not only economically successful but also environmentally and socially responsible. By incorporating green practices into HRM, organizations can enhance their environmental performance, strengthen employee well-being, and contribute more meaningfully to the long-term goal of a sustainable future.

KEYWORDS:

Green HRM, Sustainability, Human Resource Management, Organizational Performance, Employee Well-being.

INTRODUCTION :

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) refers to the incorporation of environmental sustainability principles into the full spectrum of HR activities, including workforce planning, recruitment and selection, training and development, performance management, compensation, and employee involvement. The fundamental idea is that employees' attitudes and behaviors are central to how effectively organizations can reduce environmental impacts, comply with regulations, and build a culture of sustainability. Through GHRM, organizations seek to attract individuals with pro-environmental values, build green competencies, and motivate employees to engage in practices that conserve resources, minimize waste, and support environmental initiatives.

In the context of escalating climate risks, stakeholder pressure, and regulatory expectations, many organizations are shifting from viewing environmental responsibility as a peripheral activity to positioning it as a strategic priority. HR departments thus become key actors in translating sustainability strategies into day-to-day practices, by embedding environmental criteria into job roles, performance indicators, and reward systems. This paper aims to provide a structured understanding of how GHRM operates, what practices are commonly used, and how these practices influence both environmental and organizational outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

The literature on GHRM has evolved from early conceptual pieces to more nuanced empirical studies and sector-specific applications. Initial works describe GHRM as the alignment of HR systems with environmental management, emphasizing the need to integrate ecological criteria into recruitment, training, appraisal, and rewards. These studies argue that environmental performance depends not only on technology and processes but also on people—particularly their values, skills, and motivation to engage in pro-environmental behavior. Reviews identify key practice areas: green hiring, where organizations prefer candidates with environmental awareness; green training, which builds eco-competencies; green performance management, incorporating environmental KPIs; and green rewards, offering incentives for sustainable actions.

Empirical research has increasingly focused on the mechanisms through which GHRM affects employee behavior and organizational outcomes. Studies show that perceptions of green HR practices foster a “psychological green climate,” where employees feel that the organization genuinely supports environmental goals, which in turn encourages them to engage in energy saving, recycling, and eco-innovation at work. Other work reports that GHRM is associated with higher levels of employee engagement, green creativity, and job satisfaction, suggesting that employees often respond positively to meaningful environmental initiatives. At the organizational level, GHRM is linked with better environmental performance indicators and, in some cases, improved financial or operational performance due to efficiencies and reputational benefits. Recent studies in hospitality, higher education, and manufacturing show that context matters, but across settings GHRM tends to work best when integrated with broader sustainability strategies and supported by leadership commitment.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

The specific objectives guiding this research paper are:

- To conceptualize Green Human Resource Management and explain its role in integrating environmental sustainability into HR functions.
- To review and synthesize key GHRM practices identified in the literature, including green recruitment, green training, green performance management, green rewards, and employee engagement in environmental initiatives.
- To examine empirical evidence on the relationship between GHRM practices and outcomes such as employee workplace green behavior, environmental performance, and organizational performance.
- To discuss the main challenges, enabling factors, and strategic implications associated with implementing GHRM in organizations.
- To suggest directions for practice and future research that can strengthen the contribution of GHRM to sustainable organizational development.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This paper follows a descriptive, conceptual research design based on secondary data rather than primary fieldwork. The approach involves a narrative and thematic review of academic literature, focusing on peer-reviewed journal articles, review papers, and empirical studies related to GHRM, sustainable HRM, and employee green behavior. Relevant studies were identified using keywords such as “Green HRM”, “environmental sustainability and HR”, “employee green behavior”, and “sustainable human resource management” in major electronic databases and open-access repositories. Inclusion criteria emphasized recency (primarily the last 10–15 years), relevance to HR practices, and clear treatment of environmental outcomes or sustainability-related constructs.

The collected literature was analyzed using thematic categorization. First, GHRM practices were grouped into domains such as recruitment and selection, training and development, performance management, rewards and recognition, and employee involvement. Second, mechanisms linking GHRM to employee behavior (e.g., green climate, values, engagement) and organizational outcomes (e.g., environmental performance, organizational performance, reputation) were identified. Third, the review examined contextual factors and challenges reported in different sectors and regions, including leadership support, organizational culture, and institutional pressures. This structured review forms the basis for the findings and the subsequent discussion of implications and suggestions.

FINDINGS:

The review reveals several consistent patterns regarding the nature and impact of GHRM. First, GHRM practices are now recognized as a distinct but related subset of strategic HRM, oriented specifically toward environmental goals. Organizations adopting GHRM typically incorporate sustainability into job descriptions, person–organization fit criteria, and selection processes, aiming

to hire individuals whose values align with environmental responsibility. They also invest in training programs that develop employees' understanding of environmental issues, regulations, and eco-efficient work methods, thereby building internal capabilities for sustainability initiatives.

Second, performance management systems in GHRM contexts often include environmental objectives, such as resource use reduction, waste management, or participation in green projects, and these metrics are sometimes linked to rewards, recognition, or career advancement. Such alignment sends a strong signal that environmental performance is valued on par with traditional business targets.

Third, empirical studies consistently indicate that GHRM practices positively affect employee workplace green behavior, with psychological green climate, perceived organizational support, and green values frequently identified as mediators. Employees who perceive robust green HR practices are more likely to engage in both required and voluntary eco-friendly actions at work, enhancing the organization's overall environmental performance.

Fourth, GHRM is associated with broader organizational benefits. Organizations that integrate green practices into HR systems often report improved compliance with environmental regulations, reductions in energy and material costs, enhanced corporate reputation, and higher attractiveness as employers for environmentally conscious talent. Some studies suggest synergistic effects, where GHRM contributes to innovation and learning, as employees propose new sustainability ideas and improvements to processes. Finally, the findings highlight challenges: implementation can be inconsistent, some initiatives remain symbolic, and in certain contexts resource constraints or limited management buy-in hinder the depth and continuity of GHRM.

DISCUSSION/SUGGESTIONS:

The findings support the view that GHRM provides a practical pathway for embedding environmental sustainability into the "people side" of organizations, but realizing its full potential requires strategic alignment and sustained effort. Integrating environmental criteria into HR systems helps position sustainability as a shared responsibility rather than a specialized function, thereby normalizing green behavior as part of everyday work. At the same time, there is a risk that GHRM becomes a symbolic label if initiatives are not backed by leadership commitment, adequate resources, and measurable targets; tokenistic efforts may undermine employee trust and reduce the impact of green messaging.

To strengthen GHRM in practice, several suggestions emerge:

- Strategic integration: Align GHRM with corporate environmental strategies and governance, ensuring that HR policies support clear environmental goals and are coordinated with other functions such as operations, supply chain, and facilities.

- Green recruitment and socialization: Explicitly incorporate environmental criteria in job advertisements, selection tools, and onboarding programs so that new hires understand green expectations from the outset.
- Continuous green training: Offer regular training and development focused on resource efficiency, pollution prevention, and eco-innovation, tailored to different job roles and levels of responsibility.
- Robust performance and reward systems: Integrate measurable environmental objectives into performance appraisals and link part of compensation, incentives, or recognition programs to achievements in sustainability initiatives.
- Employee involvement and voice: Create mechanisms such as green teams, suggestion schemes, and participatory projects that allow employees to propose and implement environmental improvements, thereby enhancing ownership and motivation.

From a research standpoint, there is a need for longitudinal and multi-level studies that examine how GHRM practices evolve over time, how they interact with organizational culture and leadership, and how they affect outcomes at individual, team, organizational, and societal levels. Comparative studies across countries, industries, and institutional contexts can help clarify boundary conditions and identify best practices adaptable to diverse settings.

CONCLUSION:

GHRM has emerged as a significant development in the broader field of strategic HRM, emphasizing the role of people management in achieving environmental sustainability and organizational resilience. By embedding environmental criteria into recruitment, training, performance management, and reward systems, GHRM seeks to cultivate employees who are informed, capable, and motivated to support eco-friendly initiatives at work. Evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that such practices can positively influence employee workplace green behavior, strengthen environmental performance, and, in many cases, contribute to organizational performance through cost savings, reputational gains, and enhanced engagement.

However, the effectiveness of GHRM depends on alignment with organizational strategy, leadership support, and consistency in implementation across HR subsystems. As environmental pressures intensify, organizations that treat GHRM as a strategic, integrated approach rather than an isolated set of initiatives are more likely to build sustainable, responsible, and competitive enterprises. Future research and practice should focus on refining measurement tools, understanding context-specific challenges, and documenting successful models of GHRM that can guide organizations seeking to integrate environmental sustainability into their human resource practices.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest with respect to the research topic, data sources, analysis, or reporting of the findings related to the study on issues and future prospects of online banking.

REFERENCES:

1. Ahmad, S. (2015). Green human resource management: Policies and practices. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2(1), 1030817.
2. Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green behavior: The role of psychological green climate and employee green values. *Human Resource Management*, 56(4), 613–627.
3. Implementation of green human resource management practices. (2021). *Journal of Social Sciences and Research*, 65(9), 1–15.
4. A study of green HRM practices adopted by Indian corporates. (2022). *Odisha Journal of Commerce and Management*, 8(1), 77–83.
5. A literature review of green human resource management practices. (2020). *International Journal of Research in Technology, Management and Research*, 5(3), 1–12.
6. Integrating green HRM and corporate sustainability: A study on employee perceptions and organizational performance. (2025). *Journal of Management and Sustainability Research*, 13(2), 45–68.
7. Agrawal, R.K. (2021). *Complete Guide to Pharmaceutical Forecasting* (1st ed.). PharmDart Publication. <https://pharmdart.org/drugdart.php?fldPathId=3>
8. Enhancing organizational sustainability through green HRM. (2025). *Journal of Environmental and Economic Studies*, 7(1), 1–18.
9. Effect of green HRM on the green behavior of employees. (2022). *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
10. What impact do green HRM practices have on employee green behavior, engagement and performance? (2025). *Asia-Pacific Journal of Resources and Business*, 8(5), 310–329.
11. The influence of green human resource management on sustainable organizational performance. (2023). *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management*, 8(5), 210–220.
12. The effect of green HRM on employee green behaviors in higher education institutions. (2021). *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 30(1), 7–25.
13. Nexus of green human resource management and sustainable performance. (2022). *Journal of Tourism and Services*, 12(2), 100–118.
14. P. Padmanaaban, Surabhi Jha, R. Emmaniel, N. Subramanian, Roop Raj, Ravi Kishor Agrawal. (2024). Building Stronger Relationships: The Role of Consumer-Centric Marketing Strategies. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 14(3), 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i3.1752>